We need more openness and honesty in our media providers.

Sinclair Broadcasting set it's own 'political' censurship when they refused to air the reading of the fallen soliders last spring.

Why is it now okay for Sinclair to mandate their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election. If this isn't political, I am in dire need for an english comprehension course.

As David Rovics states in one of his songs, Who will tell the people that free speech is a rouse, the coporations run the contry and then they make the news....Is media or mind control....

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy. Instead of something produced at "News Central" far away, it's more important that we see real people from our own communities and more substantive news about issues that matter.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.